	1 April 1954
	Copy No. 84
•	
CURRENT INTEI	LLIGENCE BULLETIN
DOCUMENT NO	O: TS S C
AUTH: HR 70-2 DATE: <u>28/12/179</u> F	
Office of Cu	arrent Intelligence
CENTRAL INTE	CLLIGENCE AGENCY '
	ARCHS VAC

## SUMMARY

		GENERA L	
	1.	Vyshinsky hints USSR may force Chinese issue at UN (page 3).	
25X1	2.		
	3.		
		NEAR EAST - AFRICA	
	4. 5.	Oil consortium negotiators expected in Tehran soon (page 6). Comment on RCC's use of organized labor in Egyptian political crisis (page 6).	
25X1	6.		
		LATE ITEM	
	7.	Comment on Soviet security proposals (page 8).	
		* * *	
	25>	X1A	
	Аррі	roved For Release 2003/11/04 : CIA-RDP79T00975A001500180001-5	

# **GENERAL**

1.	Vyshinsky hints USSR may force Chinese issue at UN:
25X1A	The Israeli UN representative reports that Soviet delegate Vyshinsky, in discussing the Security Council resolution on Suez Canal traffic, which he later vetoed, argued that resolutions approved with the "KMT representative" in the council were illegal. Vyshinsky did not object to the resolution itself.
	Comment: Vyshinsky publicly opposed this resolution as an attempt to impose a decision unacceptable to Egypt. While his private remarks concerning the resolution which Israel favored could have been made to placate the Israelis, they may foreshadow a Soviet plan to veto Security Council action on all issues so long as Nationalist China is a council member. It is not likely, however, that the USSR would again tie its hands by a walkout on the Chinese issue as it did in 1950, permitting UN action on Korea.  Since the Korean armistice, UN circles have seemed more favorable to the eventual participation of Communist China. Substantive discussion of the issue at the next General Assembly session in September may be difficult to avoid.
<b>2.</b> 25X1	

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

# NEAR EAST - AFRICA

4.	Oil consortium negotiators expected in Tehran soon:	
25X1A	A negotiating team representing the oil consortium is expected to arrive in Tehran shortly after 3 April to begin negotiations for settlement of the Anglo-Iranian oil dispute, according to the American embassy in London.	
	The mission will be composed of five principals: two from the Anglo-Iranian Oil Company, two from Royal Dutch Shell, and one from the Standard Oil Company of New Jersey, representing the American companies. These principals will be accompanied by nine assistants. The Compagnie Francaise des Petroles will send an observer.	
	Comment: Iranian officials probably will be prepared to begin talks as soon as the mission arrives. The negotiations, however, will be prolonged.	
	Prime Minister Zahedi's political position will be strengthened, at least initially, by this indication that he is having some success in his efforts to solve the oil problem.	
5.	Comment on RCC's use of organized labor in Egyptian political crisis:	
25X1A	The use of organized labor for political purposes during the Revolutionary Command Council's current contest with General Nagib introduces a new and potentially important force into Egyptian politics.	
	The council's effective manipulation of the 28-29 March general strike suggests that it intends to depend increasingly on organized labor for its popular support. The regime is said to envisage the creation of labor and	

### 25X1A Approved For Release 2003/11/04 : CIA-RDP79T00975A001500180001-5

professional syndicates to be represented in the proposed advisory council. The announcement on 29 March of the immediate establishment of a national advisory council, with organizational representation, may be the first move toward developing a working alliance between the council and organized labor.

Egyptian unions are relatively weak and lack experienced leadership. Organized labor offers the military regime, however, a fertile area for political exploitation, and if effectively directed, would provide an important element of support.

25X1A

25X1

6.

## LATE ITEM

7.	Comment on Soviet security proposals:
25X1A	In a note to the three Western powers on 31 March the Soviet Union said it now sees no objection to American participation in the
	Soviet-sponsored system of European security and that it is prepared to consider "the question of the participation of the USSR in the North Atlantic Treaty Organization." The note says these proposals are an effort to resolve differences revealed

at the Berlin conference.

It is unlikely that Moscow considers its proposals will be accepted. The Kremlin may hope, however, to gain more serious consideration for its security proposals made at Berlin by officially repairing Molotov's blunder of excluding the United States from the European scene. The note also appears part of a recently intensified campaign to create the impression that the Soviet Union is fostering security while the United States is building up its military strength. Underscoring Soviet "reasonableness" by a substantive proposal at this time is presumably another device to weaken Western unity prior to crucial negotiations at Geneva.

The obscure offer to "examine the question of participation" in NATO leaves the Kremlin free to introduce demands for crippling changes in NATO policy and organization as conditions for its membership. The Kremlin probably believes that any Western refusal to consider Soviet membership would bolster its claims, reiterated in this note, that NATO is directed against the Soviet bloc.

The note again dangles before the French the prospect of a divided and neutralized Germany. The Kremlin does this by declaring that Soviet membership in a revised NATO would exclude "the possibility of the involvement of one or another part of Germany in a military grouping."

Moscow implies in the note that it con-
siders both a revised NATO with the USSR as member and Molotov's
proposed European security organization necessary to an effective
system of collective security. 25X1A

- 8 -